



Minutes of the 26th meeting of the PGC held on 22nd November, 2017 at 3.30 p.m.in the Board Room, IIT-D Campus, Okhla Industrial Estate, New Delhi

Following were present:

- | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1. Dr. Rajiv Raman | - Chairman |
| 2. Prof. SamareshChatterji | |
| 3. Dr. Pushpendra Singh | |
| 4. Dr. Mayank Vatsa | |
| 5. Dr. SnehSourabh | |
| 6. Dr. Sriram K | |
| 7. Dr. Ganesh Bagler | |
| 8. Mr. K P Singh | - Academic Incharge |
| 9. Ms. Priti Patel | - JM(Academics) |
| 10. Ms. Nisha Narwal | - JM(Academics) |
| 11. Mr. Vijay Sharma | - PhD Student Representative |
| 12. Mr. Yogesh | - MTech Student Representative |

At the outset Dr. Rajiv Raman welcomed all to the meeting of the PGC. Thereafter, the agenda items were taken up for discussion and the following decisions/recommendations were made:

1. Confirmation of the minutes of the 25th meeting of the PGC held on 25th Oct, 2017.

Minutes of the meeting were confirmed, after making the following changes:

- i. Regarding Item 4 of 23rd PGC meeting, PGC has recommended the revised certificate format with regard to Synopsis/ Thesis submission (attached as Appendix). PGC has also recommended that the all PhD thesis should be checked by “Authenticate” software and the Institute should bear the charges incurred towards thesis plag check.
- ii. Regarding Item 6 of 24th PGC meeting, PGC after comparing, the fellowship and other benefits provided to PhD students by other institutes/universities and IIT-D recommended suitable upward revision of fellowship for PhD students which may be considered in forthcoming FM.

2. Registration of On-Campus courses/ MTech Minor Project/ Thesis/IS for MTech students during their off-campus Internship/ Industry Internship duration.?”

This item is re-discussed in PGC meeting and the decision taken in previous PGC meeting is reiterated.

3. Having MTP session like BTP poster session of B.Tech where M.Tech students can showcase their work.

PGC has briefly re-discussed the item, and recommended to drop this item.

- 4. Introduction of a 0 credit course for PhD students to attend seminars - a rule saying a student should register for this course for at least 4 semesters and attend at least 75% of the seminars to obtain credit.**

(This is to ensure that PhD students attend institute seminars, which is beneficial for them to gain breath as well as exposure to current research areas outside their area of expertise; and of course to learn how to give presentations to an audience outside their area of specialization as institute seminars are meant for a broad audience).

PGC has re-discussed the item, and recommended that all Departments may be requested to discuss this in Departmental FM and put up an appropriate proposal to PGC.

- 5. To consider the case of Sidhartha Sankar Rout who has joined as a PhD student under Dr Sujay in July 2017. He did his masters from IIIT Delhi (2014 pass out) and has already taken most of the relevant courses and performed well in those courses (transcript attached).**

He has taken most of the relevant courses, his advisor has requested to allow him to take more IS/ IP or online courses which will be more relevant for his research.

Deferred.

- 6. To consider the Degree format for the award of Joint PhD between IIITD & QUT Australia.**

Deferred.

- 7. To discuss the process for approval and updation of MTech specialization courses.**

Deferred.

- 8. To review M.Tech CB Regulations (document enclosed)**

Deferred.

- 9. To consider a proposal to include Thesis Title in Student's Transcript.**

Deferred.

- 10. To consider proposal to start MTech in CS with Specialization in AI program.**

Deferred.

- 11. To discuss about course feedback form.**

Deferred.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

Appendix I

Form need to be filled by the student at the time of Synopsis submission

Name		Roll No.	
Date of Joining		Entry level qualification	
Name(s) of Supervisor(s):		Name(s) of External Supervisor(s):	
No. of Course Work Credits Completed		No. of Research Work Credits Completed	
Research Methods Course Cleared			
Date of passing Comprehensive Exam			
Number of TAs completed			
Date of submission of synopsis & thesis			
Title of Thesis			
(Note : The title written here will be taken for printing on Degree Certificate)			
Attached an annexure with details of your publications and conferences in Bibtex format.			
My address for communication (till the date of defense) is			
Contact Number			
(I undertake to intimate Academic office of any change of address/contact nos./email)			
Signature of Candidate			

Content and Forms proposed to be added in PhD Thesis Evaluation Guidelines

- The Institute reserves the rights to conduct a plagiarism check of its own before the submission of thesis as per Institute norms. Scholar has to submit plagiarism check certificate along with the thesis. (Annexure III). Institute can take any actions if the scholar found guilty in plagiarism.
- It's the responsibility of student to get proof reading of the thesis and get all the content of the thesis well checked for mistakes, plagiarism, repetition, and theoretical & practical doubt before final submission.
- Even after final submission of thesis, if any mistakes or deficiency is found out of nature of importance which can not be ignored, then the research scholar has to submit fresh/new hard bound copies of the thesis.

Annexures:

Annexure I - Certificate by supervisors

Annexure II - Declaration certificate by scholars

Annexure III - Certificate of plagiarism

Certificate by Supervisor

This is certified that (name of student) _____

Registration number _____ has carried out the research work
presented in the thesis titled _____

For the award of Doctor of Philosophy from IIT, Delhi under my supervision.

To the best of my knowledge,

- (i) The thesis embodies results of original work
- (ii) Literary presentation is satisfactory and the thesis is in a form suitable for publication.
- (iii) Work evinces the capacity of the candidate for critical examination and independent judgment.
- (iv) Thesis is made as per the guidelines provided by IIT-D.
- (v) Contents of the thesis do not form the basis for the award of any other degree/ diploma or similar title to the candidate or to anybody else from this or any other University/Institution.

Signature

(Name of Supervisor 1)
(Designation)
(Place)
(With Seal and date)

Signature
(Name of Supervisor 2)
(Designation)
(Place)
(With Seal and date)

Certificate by Scholar

I declare that the thesis entitled: _____

is submitted by (name) _____

Registration No.: _____

Under the supervision of: _____

For award of PhD Degree of IIIT-D carried out during the period of _____ To _____ embodies my original work and has not formed the basis for the award of any degree, diploma, fellowship, titles or similar titles in this or any other University or other similar Institution of higher learning. I further declare that the material obtained from other sources has been duly acknowledged in the thesis. I shall be solely responsible for any plagiarism or other irregularities, of noticed in the thesis.

Signature of the scholar

Date & Place

Certificate of Plagiarism check

Title of thesis:	
Name of Scholar:	
Registration number:	
Name of Supervisor(s):	
Software name:	Authenticate
Attached Plagiarism Check Report	Yes / No

I hereby certify that this thesis has been evaluated using _____ software. I have analysed the report produced by the system and based on it, I certify that the references in the thesis are in accordance with good scientific practice.

Date and Sign of Scholar:

Date and Sign of Supervisor 1:

Date and Sign of Supervisor 2:

(To update Annexure I of Existing PhD Thesis Evaluation Guidelines)

Present Content:

“List of Proposed Examiners for the PhD Thesis:

Instruction: For each examiner, provide complete name, title and affiliation (including country), contact email (and fax and tel nos if possible), main area(s) of expertise for the proposed examiner, a very brief statement on how he/she is suitable for examining the thesis, and list of two relevant papers of the proposed examiner. “

It is proposed to replace above content as below:

To be submitted by the Supervisor. Should contain information on at least 6 to 8 examiners.	
Name of the proposed examiner (with affiliation details)	
Address (Official/residential)	
Telephone (Official/ residential)	
Email ID	
Brief write up on academic and professional qualification, achievements, position held, present affiliation etc OR provide his/ her website link.	
Link to website OR Google Scholar page	
Experience: I hereby certify that the examiner is satisfying condition that he/ she is having 6 years+ post-PhD experience.	