

**Agenda for 27th meeting of the Academic Affairs Committee (AAC) to be held on 14th April 2023 from 2.30 PM in the Senate Room, 7th Floor, R&D Building.**

Item 1.	To confirm the minutes of the <a href="#">26th AAC meeting</a> held on 10th February 2023.
Item 2.	<p>Reporting Items:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The following new course was shared with AAC members over email. Since no comments are received, this course is approved. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">ECE765</a> - Advances in Deep Learning to be offered by Dr. AV Subramanyam</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
Item 3.	<p>The Board of Governors, in its 59th meeting held on December 06, 2022, inter alia, desired as under:</p> <p><i>“To avoid possible fraudulent cases and privacy infringements, the Board desired that the Aadhar number of the Indian students may be printed in the requisite format and Passport No./Social Security Number on the degrees awarded to the international students. The Board reiterated the use of Digi locker for the degrees issued, as followed in other Universities.”</i></p> <p><a href="#">Current Degree Format</a></p> <p>The AAC is requested to deliberate on this matter for implementation part in the Degrees.</p>
Item 4.	<p>To review the bucket courses for M.Tech. CSE Program.</p> <p><a href="#">Here</a> is the Department recommendation for reference. <a href="#">Here</a> are the details when the proposed bucket courses were offered.</p>
Item 5.	<p><b>Delay in the PhD thesis evaluation process</b></p> <p>Some PhD thesis examiners do not respond even after repeated reminders from the academic section, PG Chair and the DoAA. The thesis defense is delayed and our students lose postdoc/job offers due to this delay. Our current PhD regulations allow us to schedule the defense with reports from two examiners in such cases. What should be the timeline to implement this? Should we blacklist such examiners? The AAC is requested to deliberate on this.</p>
Item 6.	<p><b>To review the CGPA criteria for semester exchange program with JKLU</b></p> <p>The matter was discussed in 26th AAC and it was suggested that departments should suggest minimum CGPA criteria for the same. We have received inputs from 3 departments as mentioned below:</p>

- **HCD Department** suggested to have minimum 7.00 CGPA
- **ECE Department:** Currently, no ECE students are involved in this program; the ECE department would not have any specific criteria and will follow the criteria set by AAC. This may be relooked as and when some students enroll in ECE or EVE programs.
- **CSE Department:** Department has suggested that “we also need to communicate to JKLU that if students are found plagiarizing during their semester visit then we will take action against the same. For example, their semester exchange may be cancelled or semester visits suspended for X years, etc. JKLU should brief their students on this”.

**Item 7. In the 25th AAC Meeting, it was suggested to have an updated Best BTP Award Format for awarding “Best B.Tech. Project Award”.**

[Here](#) is the updated format for the approval of AAC.

**Item 8. To review IIITD Course Description format.**

*The current course description document has information that keeps on changing every year (e.g., textbook, weekly schedule, etc.). This creates confusion among students and future instructors as to what is mandatory and what is tentative. It is proposed to divide the description into two parts: A mandatory part (changes require approval), e.g., name, description, credits, presence of lecture-tutorial-lab-project components (not necessarily the schedule), COs, (maybe) list of topics. And a “Tentative plan” component that may include a weekly schedule of labs-lectures-tutorials, books, evaluation plan, etc.; this component is required during course approval to understand the feasibility and intended workload+rigor of a course, but may not be necessary for students (information could be retained for guiding future instructors). Further, guidelines should be laid down for core and elective courses on which components require further approval when modified in a future semester.*

*Also, it is proposed that the current taxonomy be updated with the revised “Bloom’s taxonomy” (Given below) from “Computing Curricula 2020” which has more actions/verbs which will allow more flexibility to design the COs. Annexure II*

*It may also be desirable to upfront list which program objective (POs) this course satisfies; this helps during accreditation and could in general be beneficial to understand where this course fits with respect to a program.*

*AAC is requested to deliberate on this matter.*

**Item 9. To Review the TAs Policy.**

Many B.Tech. students become Teaching Assistants in every semester and faculty members mostly prefer B.Tech. TA’s over M.Tech. TA’s. Sometimes this creates problems as many such B.Tech.

	<p>students are allocated TAs who are either not eligible to take the load of TA because of low CGPA or extra load.</p> <p>The AAC is requested to review the existing <a href="#">TAs Policy</a>. <a href="#">TA data</a> of previous 2 years</p>
<p><b>Item 10.</b></p>	<p><b>To Review the M.Tech. CSE Internship Rule</b></p> <p>In 25th AAC Meeting (Item number 8) M.Tech. Internship Rule was discussed and after detailed deliberations, “the AAC recommended to the Senate to allow students to defend their thesis during the internship, provided the student has completed the thesis work and only thesis defense is pending. It was agreed that completion of the thesis is between the advisor(s) and the student. If a student has completed the thesis work and only thesis defense is pending, then the advisor(s) may allow a student to go for internship, and the student can defend the thesis while being on internship.” The matter was approved in <a href="#">57th Senate Meeting (57.5.2.5)</a></p> <p><i>However in the CSE FM it was discussed again and recommended to disallow MTech students from going for internships during their first 4 regular semesters (i.e., Monsoon and Winter semesters). They can either go for Internship in the fifth or subsequent semester or in the summer term.</i></p> <p><i>The AAC is requested to deliberate on this matter</i></p>
<p><b>Item 11.</b></p>	<p><b>To Review the changes proposed by SSH Department in the <a href="#">Regulations of Minor in Entrepreneurship</a>.</b></p>
	<p><b>Any other items with the permission of the Chairperson.</b></p>

\*\*\*\*\*